# Computational Challenges of Global Astrometry

## B. Bucciarelli INAF-OATo





## **Outline**

- Objectives of Astrometry
- Global Space Astrometry (HIPPARCOS & Gaia)
- The Sphere Solution in the Gaia Mission
- Analysis of Astrometric Signatures

## Objectives of Astrometry

- Study of motion of celestial objects through space with direct impact on several branches of astronomy and fundamental physics
	- Solar System (accurate modeling of planetary motions  $\rightarrow$  Solar system exploration)
	- Milky Way Galaxy (kinematics, dynamics, Galaxy models constraints)
	- Cosmology (extragalactic proper motion signatures  $\rightarrow$  primordial GW)
	- Gravitational Theories (tests of  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  PPN parameters, tests of Equivalence Principle)
- Basic Observation
	- Measurement of angles between incoming light from celestial sources
- Methods
	- Small-Field-of-View  $( $l^{\circ}$ )$
	- Large-Field-of-View (few degrees)
	- Global Astrometry (all sky, only from space)



## Global Space Astrometry

#### Principles

- Continously scanning telescope  $\rightarrow$  positional information given by timing data
- Simultaneous observation of stars separated by large angles  $\rightarrow$  absolute parallaxes
- The observation time is translated into a position of the star relative to the satellite axes
	- Along scan (AL) more important  $\rightarrow$  tolerance on across (AC) measurement ~100 times more relaxed



- A very large number of observation times are used to reconstruct an accurate celestial network, which involves also the modeling of satellite instrument and attitude
- The goal is to realize an astrometric catalog such that the angular separation  $\vartheta$  between any two objects can be computed with an uncertainy which is essentially independent on  $\vartheta$
- Such a catalog defines an *undistorted reference frame*
	- It can however be rotating  $\rightarrow$  can be made quasi-inertial using extragalactic objects (quasars)



## Global Space Astrometry

#### The 5-parameter model

- $\alpha_0$ ,  $\delta_0$  direction on the celestial sphere at the catalog reference epoch
- $\mu_{\alpha}, \mu_{\delta}$  proper motions  $(\frac{d\alpha}{dt}, \frac{d\delta}{dt})$  along  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$
- $\varpi$  parallax

#### The stellar parallax

- Yearly apparent displacement due to the Earth's motion around the Sun
	- the star describes an apparent ellipse in the sky
	- the semi-major axis of this ellipse is the

#### trigonometric parallax  $\ket{\varpi}$

• Funciontally related to the star's distance from the Sun

$$
D = \frac{1}{\varpi}
$$

where  $\bar{\omega}$  is expressed in arcseconds, and *D* in parsecs (1 parsec = 206265 Astronomical Units)





## Global Space Astrometry

#### Relative versus Absolute Parallax

- Relative parallaxes (from ground measurements) are referred to background reference stars
- Absolute parallaxes can be determined from simultaneus measurements of stars separated by large angles  $\rightarrow$  only from space
- Absolute (trigonometric) parallaxes are a proxy for stellar distances





#### • Direct distance calibrators

## ESA HIPPARCOS Mission

- First space satellite dedicated to astrometry (Launch Aug 1989-End operations Aug 1993)
	- Scanning satellite, simultaneously observing two 0.9°x0.9° FOVs 58° apart by means of a double-mirror beam combiner
	- Incoming star light modulated by grid slits perpendicular to scan direction and sampled by an image-dissector tube photomultipler
- Goal: 5 astrometric parameters of  $\sim$ 120,000 primary stars up to mag $\sim$ 12 with precision of  $\sim$ 2-4 milli-arcseconds
- Data reduction (FAST & NDAC Consortia) was a formidable problem at the time:
	- $\sim$  370,000 stellar unknowns and  $\sim$  2M calibration parameters (attitude+istrumental)
	- Direct solution by elimination of stellar unknowns would have required  $\sim n^3/3 = 10^{16}$  flops, plus the administration of  $\sim n^2/2=6$  10<sup>10</sup> double-precision reals ( $\sim 500$  GB)
- The so-called 3-step method (devised by L. Lindegren) allowed to meet the available computer resources
	- The core step was the Sphere Solution, which determined the 5 astrometric parameters of PRINGRY MIRROR all the primary stars starting from their abscissae estimated from the **COMBINER** great-circle reduction step.
	- The sphere system dimensions were  $\sim$ 400,000 unknowns and  $\sim$ 2M observation equations



FLAT FOLDING MIRROR

HIPPARCOS GRID

 $29$ 

*RAFFLE APERTUR* 

## ESA HIPPARCOS Mission

- Numerical Approach to Sphere Solution in FAST (Galligani et al. 1989)
	- Two basic procedures were developed:
		- 2x2 block Cholesky factorization by considering the splitting of the normal matrix
		- computationally more expensive





#### Condition matrix (MxN)

N=number of unknowns M=number of observations

- Gradient-type iterative method LSQR (based on Lanczos bidiagonalization algorithm)
	- Works well on sparse matrices
	- More flexible to small model changes
	- Computationally less expensive  $(15M+7N)*k$  [k=number of iterations]
	- Vectorial optimization of the initial code was performed on CRAY X-MP/12 @CINECA





## ESA Gaia Mission



- Launched on Dec 2013 in a Lissajous-type orbit around lagrangian point L2 (1.5M Km from Earth)
- Conducting an optical all-sky survey to magnitude 20 ( $\sim$ 1% of Galaxy stellar content)
- Observing principles based on predecessor HIPPARCOS but 3 order of magnitude more accurate
	- Spacecraft slowly rotating at angular rate of 1° per minute
	- Spin axis slowly precesses around Sun direction with 63-day period
	- Full sky coverage obtained every 3 months
- Focal plane assembly largest ever developed for space applications
	- 106 CCDs  $4500x1966$  px<sup>2</sup> each (total of  $\sim$ 1000M), 1x0.4m<sup>2</sup> physical dimension
	- $10x30$  micron<sup>2</sup> pixel size
	- CCD operate in Time Delay Integration (TDI) mode
		- Photoelectrons are clocked across CCD together with moving star Gaia field transits (ICRS) for 5 years image





### Gaia Astrometric Observation

- The astrometric observation is the precisely estimated instant when the star image center crosses the nominal CCD 'observation line'
- The timing observations provide accurate  $(\sim 0.1$  to 1 milliarcsecond) information about the instantaneous relative along-scan position of the observed objects



## Gaia Sphere Solution (1)

- Stars' along-scan measurements create a geodetic-like network on the celestial sphere
- Problem dimensions:
	- $\sim 10^8$  primary stars, each observed ~80 times in 5 yr, i.e. ~720 CCD transits, a total of 7.2 10<sup>10</sup> observations.
	- Number of stellar parameters  $5\ 10^8$
	- Number of attitude parameters to be estimated for a 5-yr mission is  $\sim 4 \, 10^7$
	- Number of instrumental calibration parameters  $\sim$ 10 $\,6$
- General form of minization problem:

## $\min_{(S, n)} ||f^{\text{obs}} - f(s, n)||$

- **s** = vector of unknown stellar parameters describing their barycentric (Sun centered) motion
- **n** = vector of «nuisance parameters» describing the instrument
- **fobs** = vector of the observations
- **f(s,n)** = observation model, i.e., expected detector coordinates calculated as function of astrometric and nuisance parameters



Gaia's multiple scans

Scan width =  $0.\overline{7}$ °

## Gaia Sphere Solution (2)

• The minimization problem corresponds to the least-squares solution of the overdetermined system of equations

$$
f_l^{obs} = f_l(s_i, n_j) \quad l = 1, ..., n. \text{ of observations}
$$

- index *i* indicates the stellar source, index *j* indicates the set of nuisance parameters
- The *f* function is highly non-linear, but initial errors in **s a**nd **n** are small
	- second-order terms of linearized equation typically less than  $10^{-12}$  rad  $\sim$  0.2 micro-arcseconds)  $\rightarrow$  negligible compared with single-observation noise
- The observation equation is conveniently linearized around suitable initial values:

$$
f_l^{obs} - f_l^{calc} = \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial s_i} \mathbf{x}_{si} + \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial \mathbf{n}_j} \mathbf{x}_{nj}
$$

• The weighed least-squares system is formed multiplying each equation by the square root of its statistical weight (the inverse of its standard deviation)

## Gaia sphere solution : matrix structure

- Considering for simplicity only stellar **s** and attitude **a** unknowns, the linearized system of equations in matrix notation reads  $O**x** = **b**$ ,  $$ 
	- sorting observations by the **n** stellar sources one gets a block angular matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & \ddots & 0 \ 0 & 0 & S_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{s1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{sn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{s1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{sn} \end{pmatrix}
$$

with block dimensions

$$
\mathbf{S}_i(o_{si} \times 5)
$$
,  $\mathbf{A}_i(o_{si} \times m)$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{si}(5 \times 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_a(m \times 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_{si}(o_{si} \times 1)$ 

where  $o_{si}$  is the number of observations of the i-th source and *m* is the number of attitude parameters

- *S<sub>i</sub>* are full matrices, while  $A_i$  are very sparse with  $[A_i]_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$  only if the  $\alpha$ th observation of source  $i$  is linked to the  $\beta$ th attitude parameter.
	- Each source observed relative to large number of other sources simultaneously in the two FOVs, linked togheter by the attitude (and calibration) model  $\rightarrow$ strong connectivity of matrix structure  $\rightarrow$  does not allow sequential processing

## Gaia sphere solution : computational complexity

- Sparseness structure of condition matrix *O* directly related to the choice of spline function representing the Gaia satellite attitude:
	- piecewise polynomial function written as linear combination of B-splines of order M=4 (cubic) defined on a sequence of M+1 time knots
- At any observing time  $t_i$  there are only 4 non-zero cubic B-splines, and the associated spline coefficients to be estimated are  $a_{i-M+1}, a_{i-M+2}, ..., a_{i}$ 
	- the sub-vectors *aj* consist of 3M scalar values, i.e., M spline coefficients for each of the three orientation angles of the satellite axes.
- The observation equation for different sky sources (sub-vectors  $s_i$ ) may refer to the same attitude sub-vectors *aj*

#### Fill factors (fraction of non-zero elements)

- Sub-matrix *A* fill factor 3M/m=12/m (m=n. of attitude params)
- Full matrix *O* fill factor (5+3M)/(5n+m), with  $n=10^8$ ,  $m=4$  10<sup>7</sup>,  $M=4$   $\rightarrow$  fill factor of  $O \sim 2$  10<sup>-8</sup>



## Gaia sphere solution : numerical approach

• The least-squares normal equations are  $\ O^T O \pmb{x} = O^T \pmb{b}$  (  $O^T O \equiv N)$  with solution  $\ \pmb{x} = (O^T O)^{-1} O^T \pmb{b}$ and structure of the normal matrix *N* of dimension *(5n+m)*:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nS_1^T S_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & S_1^T A_1 \\
0 & S_2^T S_2 & \dots & 0 & S_2^T A_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \dots & S_n^T S_n & S_n^T A_n \\
A_1^T S_1 & A_2^T S_2 & \dots & A_n^T S_n \sum A_i^T A_i\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\mathbf{x}_{s1} \\
\mathbf{x}_{s2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{sn} \\
\mathbf{x}_a\n\end{bmatrix} = \n\begin{bmatrix}\nS_1^T \mathbf{b}_{s1} \\
S_2^T \mathbf{b}_{s2} \\
\vdots \\
S_n^T \mathbf{b}_{sn} \\
\sum A_i^T \mathbf{b}_{si}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

Matrix *N* has a doubly bordered block diagonal form, with a block size of 5 and border width *m*

- the dimension of the sub-matrices are  $S_i^T S_i$  (5x5),  $S_i^T A_i$  (5xm),  $\sum_i A_i^T A_i$  (mxm)
- the fill factor of *N* is  $\sim$  (310)/n $\rightarrow$  3 10<sup>-6</sup>.
- Standard successive elimination of the unknowns along the block diagonal leaves a *reduced normal matrix* of much smaller dimension but much denser
	- Bombrun et al. (2010) investigated the Cholesky factorization of the reduced normal matrix concluding that a direct solution of the reduced normal equations for a 5-yr (7300 spin periods) mission would require about 1021 flops (*m3*/6 operations for Cholesky decomposition of full *m*x*m* matrix)
	- the dimension of the upper triangular matrix would require  $\sim 2M$  GigaBytes memory
- Direct approach practically unfeasible

## Gaia sphere solution : numerical approach

- Gaia's pipeline (AGIS): rigorous solution via a block-iterative technique solving separately each block of unknowns and disregarding the cross-terms connectivity
	- Covariances of stellar parameters are estimated at the last iteration, neglecting statistical correlations introduced by the attitude and calibration models (Holl and Lindegren 2012)
- Alternative solution method (GSR) implemented by the Astrometric Verification Unit (AVU) (Vecchiato et al. 2018) makes use of the LSQR method
	- Iterative algorihm (Paige & Saunders 1982) similar to the method of conjugate gradients
	- works on the condition matrix *O* and efficiently treats its sparseness
	- It provides an estimation of the unknowns' standard deviations
	- The original LSQR code has been modified to estimate the covariances of any selected group of unknowns





• Given the data volume and computational complexity, HPC tecniques are being exploited to optimize the code (Cesare et al. 2022)



Structure of AGIS (normal) matrix



## Search for Cosmological Signatures



- Proper motions of extragalactic objects such as Quasars(QSO) can reveal a variety of cosmological and observer-induced phenomena over a range of angular scales (Darling at al. 2019)
	- Secular aberration, secular extragalacitc parallax, Gravitational Waves, anysotropic expansion
- Vector Spherical Harmonic (VSH) Analysis is a powerful tool for their investigation
	- The proper motion vector field  $\vec{\mu}$  of QSOs can be decomposed into a set of orthogonal basis functions on the sphere (VSH) as

$$
\vec{\mu} = \sum_{l,m} (t_{l,m} \boldsymbol{T}_{l,m} + s_{l,m} \boldsymbol{S}_{l,m})
$$

where  $T_{lm}$  and  $S_{lm}$  are respecitvely the toroidal and spheroidal base functions of degree *l* and order *m*

• The residual proper motion field, defined on the sphere surface, orthogonally to the radial direction, is  $V(\alpha, \delta)$ . Such field can be expanded in a unique linear combination of VSH functions as

$$
\mathbf{V}(\alpha,\delta) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} (t_{lm} \mathbf{T}_{lm} + s_{lm} \mathbf{S}_{lm})
$$

where practically the expansion is truncated to a certain degree *l.*

## Search for Cosmological Signatures

#### Some Numerical Aspects

- The coefficients *tlm* and *slm* are estimated in a least-squares adjustment, where the degree *l* is reflecting the angular resolution of the field systematics that are probed  $(\theta \sim \pi/l)$
- Given *N* sources (~ 1.6M QSOs found in Gaia DR3) and a truncation to degree *L,* the condition equation system has dimensions  $2N \times 2L(L + 2)$ 
	- the data storage of the full design matrix could be a problem, so it is convenient to build up the normal matrix on the fly; for a large dataset, *(N>> l* 2), this step is the most demanding in terms of computing time.
- Signals due to residual rotation and acceleration of the reference frame materialized by QSOs are fully contained in the first degree harmonics
- Stochastic gravitational waves can also mimick a proper motion field whose sky average  $\langle \mu^2 \rangle$  can be directly related to the energy density of the cosmological GW background and is mostly contained in the degree 2 of VSH expansion (Gwinn 1997)
	- The amplitude of such signatures is expected to be  $\leq 1$  micro-arcsecond  $\Rightarrow$  difficult for Gaia, certainly within reach of the next-generation astrometric missions



Dipole pattern of QSOs proper motion induced by Galactic aberration (~5 micro-arcseconds)