Computational Challenges of Global Astrometry

B. Bucciarelli INAF-OATo







Outline

- Objectives of Astrometry
- Global Space Astrometry (HIPPARCOS & Gaia)
- The Sphere Solution in the Gaia Mission
- Analysis of Astrometric Signatures

Objectives of Astrometry

- Study of motion of celestial objects through space with direct impact on several branches of astronomy and fundamental physics
 - Solar System (accurate modeling of planetary motions \rightarrow Solar system exploration)
 - Milky Way Galaxy (kinematics, dynamics, Galaxy models constraints)
 - Cosmology (extragalactic proper motion signatures \rightarrow primordial GW)
 - Gravitational Theories (tests of γ and β PPN parameters, tests of Equivalence Principle)
- Basic Observation
 - Measurement of angles between incoming light from celestial sources
- Methods
 - Small-Field-of-View (< 1°)
 - Large-Field-of-View (few degrees)
 - Global Astrometry (all sky, only from space)



Global Space Astrometry

Principles

- Continously scanning telescope \rightarrow positional information given by timing data
- Simultaneous observation of stars separated by large angles \rightarrow absolute parallaxes
- The observation time is translated into a position of the star relative to the satellite axes
 - Along scan (AL) more important → tolerance on across (AC) measurement ~100 times more relaxed



- A very large number of observation times are used to reconstruct an accurate celestial network, which involves also the modeling of satellite instrument and attitude
- The goal is to realize an astrometric catalog such that the angular separation ϑ between any two objects can be computed with an uncertainy which is essentially independent on ϑ
- Such a catalog defines an *undistorted reference frame*
 - It can however be rotating → can be made quasi-inertial using extragalactic objects (quasars)



Global Space Astrometry

The 5-parameter model

- α_0, δ_0 direction on the celestial sphere at the catalog reference epoch
- $\mu_{\alpha}, \mu_{\delta}$ proper motions $(\frac{d\alpha}{dt}, \frac{d\delta}{dt})$ along α and δ
- ϖ parallax

The stellar parallax

- Yearly apparent displacement due to the Earth's motion around the Sun
 - the star describes an apparent ellipse in the sky
 - the semi-major axis of this ellipse is the

trigonometric parallax $\, \varpi \,$

• Functionally related to the star's distance from the Sun

$$D = 1/\varpi$$

where ϖ is expressed in arcseconds, and *D* in parsecs (1 parsec = 206265 Astronomical Units)





Global Space Astrometry

Relative versus Absolute Parallax

- Relative parallaxes (from ground measurements) are referred to background reference stars
- Absolute parallaxes can be determined from simultaneus measurements of stars separated by large angles → only from space
- Absolute (trigonometric) parallaxes are a proxy for stellar distances





• Direct distance calibrators

ESA HIPPARCOS Mission

- First space satellite dedicated to astrometry (Launch Aug 1989-End operations Aug 1993)
 - Scanning satellite, simultaneously observing two 0.9°x0.9° FOVs 58° apart by means of a double-mirror beam combiner
 - Incoming star light modulated by grid slits perpendicular to scan direction and sampled by an image-dissector tube photomultipler
- Goal: 5 astrometric parameters of ~120,000 primary stars up to mag~12 with precision of ~2-4 milli-arcseconds
- Data reduction (FAST & NDAC Consortia) was a formidable problem at the time:
 - ~370,000 stellar unknowns and ~2M calibration parameters (attitude+istrumental)
 - Direct solution by elimination of stellar unknowns would have required $\sim n^3/3 = 10^{16}$ flops, plus the administration of $\sim n^2/2=6 \ 10^{10}$ double-precision reals ($\sim 500 \text{ GB}$)
- The so-called 3-step method (devised by L. Lindegren) allowed to meet the available computer resources
 - The core step was the Sphere Solution, which determined the 5 astrometric parameters of all the primary stars starting from their abscissae estimated from the great-circle reduction step.
 - The sphere system dimensions were ~400,000 unknowns and ~2M observation equations



FLAT FOLDING

MIRROR

HIPPARCOS GRID

BARFLE APERTUR

ESA HIPPARCOS Mission

- Numerical Approach to Sphere Solution in FAST (Galligani et al. 1989)
 - Two basic procedures were developed:
 - 2x2 block Cholesky factorization by considering the splitting of the normal matrix
 - computationally more expensive



2x2 splitting

Condition matrix (MxN)

N=number of unknowns M=number of observations

- Gradient-type iterative method LSQR (based on Lanczos bidiagonalization algorithm)
 - Works well on sparse matrices
 - More flexible to small model changes
 - Computationally less expensive (15M+7N)*k [k=number of iterations]
 - Vectorial optimization of the initial code was performed on CRAY X-MP/12 @CINECA





ESA Gaia Mission



- Launched on Dec 2013 in a Lissajous-type orbit around lagrangian point L2 (1.5M Km from Earth)
- Conducting an optical all-sky survey to magnitude 20 (~1% of Galaxy stellar content)
- Observing principles based on predecessor HIPPARCOS but 3 order of magnitude more accurate
 - Spacecraft slowly rotating at angular rate of 1° per minute
 - Spin axis slowly precesses around Sun direction with 63-day period
 - Full sky coverage obtained every 3 months
- Focal plane assembly largest ever developed for space applications

Berry Holl (2008

- 106 CCDs 4500x1966 px² each (total of ~1000M), 1x0.4m² physical dimension
- 10x30 micron² pixel size
- CCD operate in Time Delay Integration (TDI) mode
 - Photoelectrons are clocked across CCD together with moving star
 Gaia field transits (CRS) for 5 years
 200





Gaia Astrometric Observation

- The astrometric observation is the precisely estimated instant when the star image center crosses the nominal CCD 'observation line'
- The timing observations provide accurate (~0.1 to 1 milliarcsecond) information about the instantaneous relative along-scan position of the observed objects



Gaia Sphere Solution (1)

- Stars' along-scan measurements create a geodetic-like network on the celestial sphere
- Problem dimensions:
 - ~ 10^8 primary stars, each observed ~80 times in 5 yr , i.e. ~720 CCD transits, a total of 7.2 10^{10} observations.
 - Number of stellar parameters 5 10⁸
 - Number of attitude parameters to be estimated for a 5-yr mission is $\sim 4 \ 10^7$
 - Number of instrumental calibration parameters ~10⁶
- General form of minization problem:

$\min_{(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{n})} \left\| f^{\text{obs}} - f(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{n}) \right\|$

- s = vector of unknown stellar parameters describing
 their barycentric (Sun centered) motion
- n = vector of «nuisance parameters» describing the
 instrument
- $\mathbf{f}^{obs} = vector of the observations$
- **f(s,n)** = observation model, i.e., expected detector coordinates calculated as function of astrometric and nuisance parameters



Scan width = 0.7°

Gaia's multiple scans

Gaia Sphere Solution (2)

• The minimization problem corresponds to the least-squares solution of the overdetermined system of equations

$$f_l^{obs} = f_l(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{n}_j)$$
 $l = 1, ..., n. of observations$

- index *i* indicates the stellar source, index *j* indicates the set of nuisance parameters
- The **f** function is highly non-linear, but initial errors in **s** and **n** are small
 - second-order terms of linearized equation typically less than 10⁻¹² rad
 (~ 0.2 micro-arcseconds) → negligible compared with single-observation noise
- The observation equation is conveniently linearized around suitable initial values:

$$f_l^{obs} - f_l^{calc} = \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial s_i} x_{si} + \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial n_j} x_{nj}$$

• The weighed least-squares system is formed multiplying each equation by the square root of its statistical weight (the inverse of its standard deviation)

Gaia sphere solution : matrix structure

- Considering for simplicity only stellar s and attitude a unknowns, the linearized system of equations in matrix notation reads Ox = b, x=x(s,a)
 - sorting observations by the **n** stellar sources one gets a **block angular** matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{s1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{sn} \\ x_a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{s1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{sn} \end{pmatrix}$$

with block dimensions

$$\boldsymbol{S}_i(o_{si} \times 5), \boldsymbol{A}_i(o_{si} \times m) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{x}_{si}(5 \times 1), \boldsymbol{x}_a(m \times 1), \boldsymbol{b}_{si}(o_{si} \times 1)$$

where o_{si} is the number of observations of the i-th source and m is the number of attitude parameters

- S_i are full matrices, while A_i are very sparse with [A_i]_{αβ} ≠ 0 only if the αth observation of source *i* is linked to the βth attitude parameter.
 - Each source observed relative to large number of other sources simultaneously in the two FOVs, linked togheter by the attitude (and calibration) model → strong connectivity of matrix structure → does not allow sequential processing

Gaia sphere solution : computational complexity

- Sparseness structure of condition matrix O directly related to the choice of spline function representing
 the Gaia satellite attitude:
 - piecewise polynomial function written as linear combination of B-splines of order M=4 (cubic) defined on a sequence of M+1 time knots
- At any observing time t_i there are only 4 non-zero cubic B-splines, and the associated spline coefficients to be estimated are $a_{i-M+1}, a_{i-M+2}, \dots, a_i$
 - the sub-vectors *aj* consist of 3M scalar values, i.e., M spline coefficients for each of the three orientation angles of the satellite axes.
- The observation equation for different sky sources (sub-vectors s_j) may refer to the same attitude sub-vectors a_j

Fill factors (fraction of non-zero elements)

- Sub-matrix *A* fill factor 3M/m=12/m (m=n. of attitude params)
- Full matrix O fill factor (5+3M)/(5n+m), with n=10⁸, m=4 10⁷, M=4 \rightarrow fill factor of $O \sim 2 \ 10^{-8}$



Gaia sphere solution : numerical approach

• The least-squares normal equations are $0^T 0 \mathbf{x} = 0^T \mathbf{b}$ ($0^T 0 \equiv N$) with solution $\mathbf{x} = (0^T 0)^{-1} 0^T \mathbf{b}$ and structure of the normal matrix N of dimension (5n+m):

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_1^T S_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & S_1^T A_1 \\ 0 & S_2^T S_2 & \dots & 0 & S_2^T A_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & S_n^T S_n & S_n^T A_n \\ A_1^T S_1 & A_2^T S_2 & \dots & A_n^T S_n & \sum A_i^T A_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{s1} \\ \mathbf{x}_{s2} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{sn} \\ \mathbf{x}_a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_1^T \mathbf{b}_{s1} \\ S_2^T \mathbf{b}_{s2} \\ \vdots \\ S_n^T \mathbf{b}_{sn} \\ \sum A_i^T \mathbf{b}_{si} \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix N has a doubly bordered block diagonal form, with a block size of 5 and border width m

- the dimension of the sub-matrices are $S_i^T S_i(5x5)$, $S_i^T A_i(5xm)$, $\sum_i A_i^T A_i$ (mxm)
- the fill factor of N is ~ (310)/n \rightarrow 3 10⁻⁶.
- Standard successive elimination of the unknowns along the block diagonal leaves a *reduced normal matrix* of much smaller dimension but much denser
 - Bombrun et al. (2010) investigated the Cholesky factorization of the reduced normal matrix concluding that a direct solution of the reduced normal equations for a 5-yr (7300 spin periods) mission would require about 10²¹ flops (m³/6 operations for Cholesky decomposition of full mxm matrix)
 - the dimension of the upper triangular matrix would require ~ 2M GigaBytes memory
- Direct approach practically unfeasible

Gaia sphere solution : numerical approach

- Gaia's pipeline (AGIS): rigorous solution via a block-iterative technique solving separately each block of unknowns and disregarding the cross-terms connectivity
 - Covariances of stellar parameters are estimated at the last iteration, neglecting statistical correlations introduced by the attitude and calibration models (Holl and Lindegren 2012)
- Alternative solution method (GSR) implemented by the Astrometric Verification Unit (AVU) (Vecchiato et al. 2018) makes use of the LSQR method
 - Iterative algorihm (Paige & Saunders 1982) similar to the method of conjugate gradients
 - works on the condition matrix O and efficiently treats its sparseness
 - It provides an estimation of the unknowns' standard deviations
 - The original LSQR code has been modified to estimate the covariances of any selected group of unknowns





• Given the data volume and computational complexity, HPC tecniques are being exploited to optimize the code (Cesare et al. 2022)



Structure of AGIS (normal) matrix



Search for Cosmological Signatures



- Proper motions of extragalactic objects such as Quasars(QSO) can reveal a variety of cosmological and observer-induced phenomena over a range of angular scales (Darling at al. 2019)
 - Secular aberration, secular extragalacitc parallax, Gravitational Waves, anysotropic expansion
- Vector Spherical Harmonic (VSH) Analysis is a powerful tool for their investigation
 - The proper motion vector field $\vec{\mu}$ of QSOs can be decomposed into a set of orthogonal basis functions on the sphere (VSH) as

$$\vec{\mu} = \sum_{l,m} (t_{l,m} \boldsymbol{T}_{l,m} + s_{l,m} \boldsymbol{S}_{l,m})$$

where \mathbf{T}_{lm} and \mathbf{S}_{lm} are respecitvely the toroidal and spheroidal base functions of degree l and order m

• The residual proper motion field, defined on the sphere surface, orthogonally to the radial direction, is $V(\alpha, \delta)$. Such field can be expanded in a unique linear combination of VSH functions as

$$\mathbf{V}(\alpha, \delta) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} (t_{lm} \mathbf{T}_{lm} + s_{lm} \mathbf{S}_{lm})$$

where practically the expansion is truncated to a certain degree *l*.

Search for Cosmological Signatures

Some Numerical Aspects

- The coefficients t_{lm} and s_{lm} are estimated in a least-squares adjustment, where the degree l is reflecting the angular resolution of the field systematics that are probed ($\theta \sim \pi/l$)
- Given N sources (~ 1.6M QSOs found in Gaia DR3) and a truncation to degree L, the condition equation system has dimensions $2N \times 2L(L + 2)$
 - the data storage of the full design matrix could be a problem, so it is convenient to build up the normal matrix on the fly; for a large dataset, $(N >> l^2)$, this step is the most demanding in terms of computing time.
- Signals due to residual rotation and acceleration of the reference frame materialized by QSOs are fully contained in the first degree harmonics
- Stochastic gravitational waves can also mimick a proper motion field whose sky average $\langle \mu^2 \rangle$ can be directly related to the energy density of the cosmological GW background and is mostly contained in the degree 2 of VSH expansion (Gwinn 1997)
 - The amplitude of such signatures is expected to be
 < 1 micro-arcsecond → difficult for Gaia, certainly within reach of the next-generation astrometric missions



Dipole pattern of QSOs proper motion induced by Galactic aberration (~5 micro-arcseconds)